

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MARY ANN FRANCO, et al.,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

## HILTON GRAND VACATIONS,

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00090-GMN-NJK

## Order

[Docket No. 23]

15 Pending before the Court is attorney Christopher Burk’s motion to withdraw as counsel for  
16 Plaintiffs. Docket No. 23. Mr. Burk was ordered to file a supplement fully explaining the  
17 circumstances giving rise to his motion. *See* Docket No. 24 at 1. In violation of that order, no  
18 such supplement was filed.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the motion to withdraw is hereby **DENIED** and the  
19 hearing set on the motion is **VACATED**. Mr. Burk is advised that he must diligently pursue this  
20 case on Plaintiffs’ behalf. The Clerk’s Office is **INSTRUCTED** to mail a copy of this order on  
21 Plaintiffs at their address at Docket No. 31.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 17, 2019

~~Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge~~

<sup>1</sup> Mr. Burk did file a supplement in a parallel case. *See Mejia v. Westgate Las Vegas Resorts, LLC*, Case No. 2:18-cv-02128-GMN-NJK, Docket No. 38 (D. Nev. June 11, 2019). In an order issued concurrently herewith, the Court is denying the motion to withdraw in that case because an insufficient showing has been made that withdrawal should be allowed.